CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

| SEP | | | | |
|-----------|------|----|---------|---|
| JULA C. I | lue. | LE | Y CLERA | , |
| | | | ERK | • |
| | 1 1 | U | -ERK/) | |

| HAROLD JASON PADGETT, |) | Civil Action No. 7:17-cv-00378 |
|-----------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | MEMORANDUM OPINION |
| |) | |
| SCOTT, et al., |) | By: Hon. Michael F. Urbanski |
| Defendants. |) | Chief United States District Judge |

Harold Jason Padgett, a Virginia inmate proceeding pro se, filed a complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 naming the Duffield Regional Jail ("Jail") and Head Nurse Scott as defendants. This matter is before the court for screening pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.

The court dismisses claims alleged against the Jail without prejudice because the Jail is not amenable to suit via § 1983. See West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988) (recognizing a § 1983 claim must allege the violation of a federal right by a person acting under color of state law); Preval v. Reno, 57 F. Supp. 2d 307, 310 (E.D. Va. 1999) ("[T]he Piedmont Regional Jail is not a "person," and therefore not amenable to suit under 42 U.S.C. § 1983."), aff'd in part and rev'd in part, 203 F.3d 821 (4th Cir. 2000), reported in full-text format at 2000 U.S. App. LEXIS 465, at *3, 2000 WL 20591, at *1 ("The court also properly determined that the Piedmont Regional Jail is not a 'person' and is therefore not amenable to suit under § 1983[.]"). Plaintiff's claims against Head Nurse Scott remain pending.

ENTER: This /8 day of September, 2017.

(s/ Michael 7. Urbanski
Chief United States District Judge